D R HILL MIDDLE 100 Rowe Street Duncan, South Carolina 29334 7-8 Middle School GRADES ENROLLMENT 908 Students Mr. Steve Gambrell PRINCIPAL SUPERINTENDENT Dr. Sidney Crumpton 864-949-2350 BOARD CHAIR Bo Corne THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA ANNUAL SCHOOL 2003 REPORT CARD ABSOLUTE RATING: AVERAGE Absolute Ratings of Middle Schools with Students like Ours Excellent Good Average Below Average Unsatisfactory 22 16 IMPROVEMENT RATING: UNSATISFACTORY ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS: This school met 15 out of 21 objectives. The objectives included performance and participation of students in various groups and student attendance rate. SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country. WWW.MYSCSCHOOLS.COM

FOR MORE INFORMATION, VISIT WEBSITES AT: WWW.SCEOC.ORG

864-949-2370

864-949-0868

ND

PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD

Our School

Mathematics

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Average	Unsatisfactory	N/A
2002	Good	Below Average	N/A
2003	Average	Unsatisfactory	No

PALMETTO ACHIEVEMENT CHALLENGE TESTS (PACT) RESULTS

English/Language Arts

Middle Schools with Students like Ours

English/Language Arts

Definition of Critical Terms

Mathematics

Very high score; very well prepared to work at next grade level; exceeded expectations Advanced **Proficient** Well prepared to work at next grade level; met expectations Met standards; minimally prepared, can go to next grade level Basic Did not meet standards; must have an academic assistance plan; **Below Basic** the local board policy determines progress to the next grade level

NOTE: Science and social studies are to be included in the 2005 school report card.

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS

	leachers	Students	Parents
Number of surveys returned	69	432	181
Percent satisfied with learning environment	89.9%	75.9%	81.4%
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	95.5%	79.7%	53.8%
Percent satisfied with home-school relations	88.4%	86.1%	72.4%

	1200010
PACT PERFORMANCE BY GROUP	
IPAG I PERFURMANCE BY GRUUP	

	/	Rent Testing	Tested old H	allow Basic	/.c. /	Proficient of	Advanced Prof	cient anced
	olli	ie, Les	(62/g)	OH	Basic ok	Profit	Advar .of	ciell ance State
	Emo	184 o/	0/08	0/	0/0	0/0	, 0/0 Kr	All State
			=	iglish/Lar	iguage Ai			
All students	897	99.7	30.3	45.8	21.4	2.5	23.9	17.6
Gender								
Male	472	99.6	36.7	43.5	18.1	1.6	19.7	17.6
Female	425	99.8	22.8	48.4	25.3	3.5	28.9	17.6
Racial/Ethnic Group								
White	615	99.8	20.8	49.8	25.9	3.4	29.3	17.6
African-American	228	99.1	54.9	35.4	9.7	N/A	9.7	17.6
Asian/Pacific Islander	13	100.0	30.8	23.1	38.5	7.7	46.2	17.6
Hispanic	36	100.0	45.8	45.8	8.3	N/A	8.3	17.6
American Indian/Alaskan	2	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Disability Status								
Not disabled	711	99.9	21.6	49.0	26.2	3.1	29.3	17.6
Disabled	186	98.9	65.3	32.9	1.8	N/A	1.8	17.6
Migrant Status								
Migrant	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Non-migrant	897	99.7	30.1	45.8	21.5	2.5	24.0	17.6
English Proficiency								
Limited English proficient	12	100.0	83.3	16.7	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Non-limited English proficient	885	99.7	29.4	46.2	21.8	2.5	24.4	17.6
Socio-Economic Status								
Subsidized meals	382	99.5	48.8	41.0	9.6	0.6	10.2	17.6
Full-pay meals	514	99.8	17.7	49.0	29.5	3.8	33.3	17.6
							•	
				Mathe	matics			
All students	897	99.9	29.2	43.8	16.2	10.8	27.0	15.5
Gender								
Male	472	100.0	30.5	41.3	15.6	12.6	28.2	15.5
Female	425	99.8	27.3	46.7	17.2	8.8	26.0	15.5
Racial/Ethnic Group								
White	615	100.0	22.3	44.3	19.5	13.9	33.3	15.5
African-American	228	99.6	46.2	43.8	7.2	2.9	10.1	15.5
Asian/Pacific Islander	13	100.0	15.4	53.8	15.4	15.4	30.8	15.5
Hispanic	36	100.0	50.0	29.2	16.7	4.2	20.8	15.5
American Indian/Alaskan	2	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Disability Status								
Not disabled	711	99.9	20.4	47.3	19.5	12.7	32.2	15.5
Disabled	186	100.0	64.5	29.6	3.0	3.0	5.9	15.5
Migrant Status								
Migrant	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Non-migrant	897	99.9	29.0	43.9	16.3	10.8	27.2	15.5
English Proficiency								
Limited English proficient	12	100.0	91.7	8.3	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Non-limited English proficient	885	99.9	28.1	44.4	16.6	11.0	27.6	15.5
Socio-Economic Status								
Subsidized meals	382	99.7	44.3	42.9	8.9	3.9	12.8	15.5
Full-pay meals	514	100.0	18.7	44.5	21.3	15.5	36.8	15.5

PACT PERFORMANCE BY GRADE LEVEL

Grade 8

504

99.4

triding of teding olo Profese Harden de de la companya olo Balom Basic olo Proficient o/o Advanced olo Tested olo Basic English/Language Arts Grade 3 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Grade 4 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Grade 5 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Grade 6 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Grade 7 482 46.3 25.6 N/A 23.1 5.0 30.6 Grade 8 446 N/A 28.2 43.3 24.4 4.1 28.5 Grade 3 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Grade 4 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Grade 5 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Grade 6 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Grade 7 393 100.0 26.6 46.1 26.0 27.4 1.4

33.2

45.7

17.8

3.4

21.1

				Mi	athematio	S		
	Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2002	Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
20	Grade 6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 7	482	N/A	31.0	32.3	19.8	16.9	36.7
•	Grade 8	446	N/A	31.7	43.5	15.7	9.1	24.8
	Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2003	Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
20	Grade 6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 7	393	100.0	27.4	37.7	19.2	15.7	35.0
	Grade 8	504	99.8	30.7	48.5	13.9	6.9	20.8

SCHOOL PROFILE

	Our School	Change from Last Year	Middle Schools with Students Like Ours	Median Middle School
Students (n= 908)				
Students enrolled in high school credit courses (grades 7 & 8)	17.8%	Up from 12.2%	19.0%	14.4%
Retention rate	0.1%	Down from 1.4%	1.9%	2.3%
Attendance rate Eligible for gifted and talented	96.2%	Up from 96.0%	95.6%	95.2%
	19.1%	Up from 17.1%	19.1%	13.6%
On academic plans On academic probation	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
With disabilities other than speech Older than usual for grade	20.7%	Up from 18.8%	13.9%	14.1%
	1.5%	No change	3.7%	4.9%
Suspended or expelled	0.9%	Up from 0.6%	1.3%	1.3%
Annual dropout rate	0.0%	No change	0.0%	0.0%
Teachers (n= 70)				
Teachers with advanced degrees Continuing contract teachers	54.3%	Down from 54.9%	48.2%	47.1%
	85.7%	Up from 83.1%	88.1%	82.5%
Highly qualified teachers	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Teachers returning from previous year	r 89.1%	Up from 88.0%	86.4%	84.3%
Teacher attendance rate Average teacher salary	96.1%	Up from 95.3%	95.4%	95.0%
	\$41,500	Up 2.4%	\$40,811	\$39,924
Prof. development days/teacher	13.9 days	Up from 9.4 days	10.4 days	10.7 days
School				
Principal's years at school	4.0	Up from 3.0	4.0	3.0
Student-teacher ratio	19.9 to 1	Up from 19.4 to 1	22.8 to 1	21.0 to 1
Prime instructional time	91.3%	Up from 90.7%	89.6%	88.9%
Dollars spent per pupil*	\$6,139	Up 0.4%	\$5,679	\$5,854
Percent spent on teacher salaries* Opportunities in the arts	68.4%	Up from 61.8%	62.2%	62.0%
	Excellent	No change	Good	Good
Parents attending conferences SACS accreditation	92.1%	Down from 94.9%	95.4%	94.8%
	yes	N/A	yes	yes

^{*} Prior year audited financial data are reported.

	Our District	State	
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools	N/A	N/A	
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools	N/A	N/A	

			• •	
Δhhr	eviation	e tor N	liccina	I lata

N/A Not Applicable N/C Not Collected N/R Not Reported I/S Insufficient San	ıple
--	------

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

We have just completed another successful year at D. R. Hill. Our second report card reflected the dedication and innovations of our faculty and staff. Our students' PACT results demonstrate the progress taking place at our school. We improved our absolute rating from "Average" to "Good."

Several initiatives to make sure each child is challenged were implemented in 2002-03. We offered English I Honors for the first time for high school credit to go along with our Algebra I Honors. We added an advanced math class in our seventh grade curriculum. We had seven teachers receive National Board Certification. We had forty-nine Junior Scholars, the most in our history. The Academic Team placed third in the state in Knowledge Master competition and made the final four in the Battle of the Brains competition. Our band received 19 Superior ratings and 10 Excellent ratings. Our orchestra achieved 3 Superior ratings and 19 Excellent ratings in competition this year.

We had a successful SACS accreditation visit after more than a year's work by all stakeholders in our school community. We were one of the few middle schools to be named an Exemplary Writing School after a complete examination of our writing program, including a site visit by the writing committee. We were informed in May that we had been renewed as a Flagship School of Promise, an indication of our commitment to the children in our school as well.

Many challenges remain as we react to state and federal mandates. We need your help as we continue to meet these and other challenges. Please get involved in your school.

Steve Gambrell, Principal

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specified that the statewide target is met for all students and for each subgroup of students: racial/ethnic, economic, disability, limited English proficiency and migrant status.